Toddlers’ Dietary Diversity and Its Determinants in Different Agricultural Periods
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ABSTRACT

Background: Inadequate diet and illness were immediate causes of malnutrition. Dietary diversity helps to ensure adequate intake of essential nutrients and promotes good health. Challenge is to maintain adequate nutrients intake during all periods. The primary study objective was to determine the toddlers’ dietary diversity and its determinants in different agricultural periods.

Methods: A 24 hours open recall was used to collect all foods eaten by toddlers. These data were collected three times in households at the Centre-West region of Burkina Faso. The dietary diversity score (DDS) equals the number of food groups consumed. The toddler’s dietary diversity was low when DDS<4 and minimum, when DDS≥4 as recommended by WHO. The associations between toddlers’ DDS with periodicity, sociodemographic, and economic variables were determined.

Results: The means of toddlers’ dietary diversity scores during agricultural mitigation, welding, and increase periods were 4.5 [4.3-4.6], 4.4 [4.2-4.5], and 4.8 [4.7-5.0], respectively. During agricultural mitigation, welding and increase periods, 77%, 73% and 87% of toddlers reached the minimum dietary diversity score, respectively. This study revealed that toddlers’ dietary diversity was associated with the province of residence, household market gardening practice, household head gender and age, toddlers’ gender and age, giving toddlers’ food from outside, and toddlers’ meal frequency.

Conclusion: Toddlers’ dietary diversity was associated with gender, age, feeding, and agricultural practices.
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Introduction

Nutritional deficiencies are not only the result of low food quantities consumed, but also of poor dietary quality and diversity. The level of dietary diversity was shown to be a good indicator of people’s broader nutritional status in many situations (1, 2). Dietary diversity is the number of different food groups consumed by an individual or a household over a given period. It is a qualitative measure of food consumption that reflects household access to

a variety of foods and is also a proxy of nutrient adequacy of the diet of individuals (3).

Dietary assessment estimates are made at the individual or household levels, and their results presented as energy and nutrient adequacy, dietary quality, food patterns, intakes of specific foods and food groups, intakes of macronutrients and micronutrients and diet composition. These estimates are obtained via direct and indirect retrospective or prospective assessment methods. Validation studies conducted in low and middle-income countries have consistently shown that dietary diversity scores are associated with nutrient intake adequacy and nutritional status among women and toddlers (4-6).

The dietary diversity score was validated for several age groups/gender as constituting an approached adequacy measure for the diet nutrients content. These scores were correlated positively with micronutrient density adequacy to foods for children and toddlers (1). Some studies showed seasonal effects on children and women food nutrients intake in Africa (7-9) and Asia (10), both in rural and urban areas. Previous studies on children dietary diversity in Center-West region were not done according to three different periods on year. It is essential to evaluate the quality of the diet at a national and local level in different periods of the year. The present research aimed to identify toddlers’ dietary diversity and its determinants during three periods of a year.

**Materials and Methods**

The study was conducted in the Centre-West region located one hundred kilometers from Ouagadougou, the capital of Burkina Faso. This region includes the provinces of Boulikmandé, Sangué, Sissili, and Ziro. The Centre-West region’s total population was estimated at 1,554,040 inhabitants (88,656 toddlers) with 46.1% of men and 53.9% women. This population has a distribution of 119,541 households with 87% living in rural areas against 13% in urban areas according to national institute of statistic and demography projections.

In a year, there were three distinct periods according to food availability. The first was extended from January to June called mitigation, when food is relatively abundant and is the gardening beginning. The second was extended from July to September called welding, when the majority of smaller farmers do not have food stock and work in the fields. The last one, called increase was extended from October to December, when farmers harvest or finish to harvest; furthermore, food is also abundant. The study was conducted in 2017 during the periods of mitigation, welding and increase in Burkina Faso.

The study type was a repeated cross-sectional study on toddlers’ food consumption habits. The study population consisted of households, toddlers, and their parents. Stratified sampling was conducted in each province of the region. First, it was to draw the villages/area with probability sampling proportional to their population size, followed by a systematic draw random sampling of households per village/area. The number of toddlers was estimated with OpenEpi (Sullivan KM, Soe MM, 2013) proportion sample size calculation.

The sample was esteemed at 330 for each survey period with 97% confidence levels. According to 2016 national nutrition survey, 88% of toddlers did not reach minimum dietary diversity. Confidence limits and design effect were 5% and 1.5, respectively. One toddler was selected per household. A grid (Kish and Wiegand, survey sampling 1968) was used to select one toddler in cases, where there were several toddlers in one household. The survey was performed exclusively on toddlers, whose parents provided written informed consent. Have been excluded, the sick toddlers and those whose parents were unable to answer the questions.

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee for Health Research of Burkina Faso. The study objectives were clearly explained to parents, selected household heads, and local authorities. Informed written consent was obtained from parents of toddlers. Seven previously trained supervisors and thirty-seven investigators had collected households and toddlers data in each household. Data collection, treatment and analysis were suitable to the World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines on indicators for assessing infant and young child feeding practices (11, 12) and the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) guide to measure dietary diversity at the household and the individual level (3).

A dietary diversity questionnaire was elaborated according to guidelines of WHO and FAO in accordance with the study objectives and country context. The face-to-face interview with parents of toddlers was used in households. A qualitative recall of all foods consumed by the toddlers during the previous 24 hours period was performed in each period. Each mother or caretaker was asked to recall all the dishes, snacks, or other foods he gave the toddler involved in the study, regardless of whether the food was eaten inside or outside the household. The atypical days, such as local feasts or celebrations, market and illness days were not included in the recall.

Based on the open recall, the interviewer checked which food groups were consumed using a predefined list of food groups. According to the West African and local food composition tables, a list of 19 food
Toddlers’ dietary diversity across periods

Int J Nutr Sci September 2019;4(3)

The corresponding foods were underlined in the list under the appropriate food group. For any food groups not mentioned, the respondent was asked if a food item from this group was consumed. One point was allocated for each food item or group consumed and zero if not consumed (3, 14). No minimal amount was required for a food item to be included. Data were collected during each agricultural period of 2017. The same households’ toddlers (6 to 23 months) were surveyed in each period.

Data were computed and analyzed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows (IBM Corp. Version 20.0. Armonk, NY). The electro-household goods, fuel used for kitchen, household equipment, possession of animals, land possession, and household water sources were used for the socioeconomic status index determination using the SPSS principal component analysis (15, 16). Then households distributed in low, medium and high socioeconomic status. The dietary diversity score of toddlers (DDST) was equal to the number of food groups consumed by them. Based on given recommendations, the DDST included 7 food groups (3, 12).

These food groups included starches staples; vitamin A-rich fruits and vegetables; other vegetables and other fruits; offal, meat, and fish; legumes, nuts, and seeds; eggs; milk and dairy products. Toddlers who consumed daily at least 4 food groups on 7 reached the minimum dietary diversity (MDDS). The dietary diversity score (DDS) and food group consumption frequency were dependent variables and were examined as a function of periods, nutrient-rich foods (vitamin A and iron), and socio-demographic and economic factors.

For country specific micronutrients of interest (vitamin-A, and iron deficiencies) consideration, other food groups’ consumption was explored as recommended by WHO and FAO. The vitamin A-rich foods of vegetable origin (VGVA) included dark green leafy vegetables, vitamin A-rich vegetables and roots, vitamin A-rich fruits, and red palm products. The vitamin A-rich foods of animal origin (ANIVA) included offal, eggs, milk, and dairy products. The vitamin A-rich foods of animal and/or vegetable origin (VITA) were one or both VGVA and ANIVA. The iron-rich foods included offal, meat, and fish (3).

DDST was divided into two classes, low dietary diversity score (LDDS), when DDS<4 and minimum dietary diversity score (MDDS) when DDS≥4. Toddlers’ LDDS and MDDS were crossed with sociodemographic and economic variables to determine their association. Some variables were expressed as frequencies, percentages, and mean±standard deviation (SD). The Pearson Chi-Square test was performed to examine the association between DDS and dependent categorical variables to be included in the multinominal logistic regression analysis at p-value<0.2. This method was done to model a relationship between predictors’ variables and toddlers’ dietary diversity. The result was presented with an odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI). P-value<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

### Results

The study was conducted in 34 villages and 3 towns. In agricultural mitigation, welding and increase periods, 419, 546, and 369 toddlers were involved, respectively. Figure 1 in this section represented the toddler’s food items/groups consumption frequency.

![Figure 1: Foods items/groups consumed by toddlers during the year 2017. NS: Not significant.](image)
as a function of periodicity.

During agricultural increase period, most toddlers consumed eggs, meat, offal, vitamin A-rich vegetables and tubers, tubers white, roots and plantains and other fruits than the two other periods. Only milk and dairy products were consumed by many toddlers in the mitigation period than other ones. Table 1 illustrates the toddlers’ food groups’ consumption proportion, according to periodicity. Table 1 indicates that vitamin A-rich fruits and vegetable group were consumed by several toddlers in the welding period than other periods with a significant difference. The starchy staples group was the most consumed by toddlers during mitigation, increase and welding periods.

Four food groups were consumed by many toddlers during an increase period compared to welding and mitigation periods. Toddlers’ consumption of all the seven food group used for DDS calculation was stable (no significant difference) across periods. According to toddlers’ consumption of vitamin A-rich foods of animal origin, there was no significant difference between periods. A significant difference was found between periods and toddlers iron-rich foods consumption, so during the increase period, most toddlers consumed them than other ones.

Table 1 indicates the descriptive statistics of toddlers’ DDS. The toddlers’ DDS mean grow respectively during agricultural increase, mitigation, and welding periods. Figure 2 represents toddlers’ DDS classes according to periods. During agricultural mitigation, welding and increase periods, 77%, 73% and 87% of toddlers reached the MDDS, respectively. Agricultural increase and mitigation periods were the periods whom most of the toddlers consumed diversified foods than the agricultural welding period with significant difference.

The chi-square test exploring the association between dietary diversity and dependent categorical variables to be included in the multivariate analysis was presented in Table 3 and 4.

According to Table 3, province, household market gardening practice, and possession of animals were associated significantly with toddlers’ DDS. In this study, the market gardening practice was associated significantly with toddlers DDS during mitigation, increase, and welding periods. The province influenced significantly toddlers’ DDS during periods of mitigation and welding but not to increase one.

In Table 4, household head gender and age influenced toddlers’ dietary diversity significantly. Most females headed households’ toddlers had high dietary diversity compared to other males’ ones. There was no significant association with toddlers’ DDS and areas of residence, households’ socioeconomic status, and households’ heads

### Table 1: Periodicity and toddlers’ food groups’ consumption frequency and percentage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Food groups of toddlers</th>
<th>Mitigation (N=419)</th>
<th>Welding (N=546)</th>
<th>Increase (N=369)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All starchy staples</td>
<td>399 (95.2)</td>
<td>540 (98.9)</td>
<td>360 (97.3)</td>
<td>≤0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin A-rich fruits and vegetables</td>
<td>326 (77.8)</td>
<td>489 (89.6)</td>
<td>315 (85.1)</td>
<td>≤0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk and dairy products</td>
<td>167 (39.9)</td>
<td>186 (34.1)</td>
<td>96 (25.9)</td>
<td>≤0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offal, meat and fish</td>
<td>321 (76.6)</td>
<td>372 (68.1)</td>
<td>319 (86.2)</td>
<td>≤0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eggs</td>
<td>62 (14.8)</td>
<td>94 (17.2)</td>
<td>86 (23.2)</td>
<td>≤0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legumes, nuts and seeds</td>
<td>272 (64.9)</td>
<td>352 (64.5)</td>
<td>302 (81.6)</td>
<td>≤0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other vegetables and other fruits</td>
<td>319 (76.1)</td>
<td>357 (65.4)</td>
<td>308 (83.2)</td>
<td>≤0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumption of ANIVA</td>
<td>197 (47.0)</td>
<td>223 (40.8)</td>
<td>158 (42.7)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumption of VITA</td>
<td>349 (83.3)</td>
<td>503 (92.1)</td>
<td>323 (87.3)</td>
<td>≤0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumption of iron</td>
<td>321 (76.6)</td>
<td>372 (68.1)</td>
<td>319 (86.2)</td>
<td>≤0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ANIVA: Vitamin A-rich foods of animal origin; VITA: Vitamin A-rich foods of animal and/or vegetable origin

### Table 2: Descriptive statistics of toddlers’ dietary diversity scores

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DDS T</th>
<th>Mitigation (N=419)</th>
<th>Welding (N=546)</th>
<th>Increase (N=369)</th>
<th>All (N=1334)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean CI, 95%</td>
<td>4.5 [4.3-4.6]</td>
<td>4.4 [4.2-4.5]</td>
<td>4.8 [4.7-5.0]</td>
<td>4.5 [4.4-4.6]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard deviation</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DDST: Dietary diversity score of toddlers
According to the Chi-Square test, toddlers' characteristics like gender, age, meals frequency, and outside food consumption were significantly associated with their DDS during the year 2017.

Toddlers' DDS predictors' associated factors according to multinominal logistic regression results were presented in Table 5. This study revealed that toddlers living in households who did not practice market gardening had three times more risk to have low DDS during mitigation, four times during welding, and three times during increase. The province influenced toddlers' DDS. Respectively, Sissili and Sanguié provinces toddlers had five and...
three risk to have low dietary diversity during the mitigation period compared to toddlers living in other provinces.

Households’ head gender and age groups influenced toddlers’ dietary diversity significantly. Most of the females headed households’ toddlers had high dietary diversity compared to other males’ ones. During mitigation period, toddlers living in males headed households had three times more risk to have low dietary diversity score compared to females ones (Table 5). Toddlers living in married and literate headed households also had high dietary diversity compared to single and illiterate ones, but significant difference was not found according to the logistic regression model.

Households’ heads age was associated with toddlers’ dietary diversity during the welding period. For example, toddlers living in households headed by someone less than 35-year-old had two times the risk to have low dietary diversity compared to those of 56 years old and over (Table 5). Most of the girls had high DDS compared to boys. Boys had three times the risk to have low DDS comparatively to girls during an increase period (Table 5). When a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mitigation</th>
<th>Welding</th>
<th>Increase</th>
<th>All</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LDDS</td>
<td>MDDS</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>LDDS</td>
<td>MDDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household head gender</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>n 90 266 ** 123 342 * 38 285 ** 251 893 *</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>n 6 57</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.5 90.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household head education</td>
<td>Illiterate</td>
<td>n 69 243 * 98 254 * 35 218 * 202 715 *</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Literate</td>
<td>n 27 80</td>
<td></td>
<td>22.1 77.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household head age groups</td>
<td>≤35</td>
<td>n 34 93 * 52 107 * 17 88 * 103 288 **</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>36-45</td>
<td>n 27 84</td>
<td></td>
<td>24.3 75.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toddlers’ gender</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>n 56 158 * 56 201 ** 34 145 ** 146 504 *</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>n 40 165</td>
<td></td>
<td>19.5 80.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toddlers’ age groups</td>
<td>06-11</td>
<td>n 54 112 *** 40 155 *** 27 94 ** 121 361 ***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12-15</td>
<td>n 26 103</td>
<td></td>
<td>32.5 67.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16-17</td>
<td>n 5 29</td>
<td></td>
<td>20.2 79.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18-23</td>
<td>n 11 79</td>
<td></td>
<td>14.7 85.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toddlers’ meals frequency</td>
<td>≤2</td>
<td>n 50 72</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.2 87.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>n 26 130</td>
<td></td>
<td>41.0 59.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≥4</td>
<td>n 20 121</td>
<td></td>
<td>16.7 83.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toddlers’ street food consumption</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>n 85 228 *** 135 301 *** 43 239 ** 263 768 ***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>n 11 95</td>
<td></td>
<td>27.2 72.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P>0.05; **P<0.05; ***P<0.001. DDS: dietary diversity score. LDDS: low dietary diversity score, MDDS: minimum dietary diversity score
Toddlers' dietary diversity across periods

During the welding period, toddlers of 6-11 months old had twice the risk to have low DDS compared to those older. Similarly, during the increase period, toddlers of 6-11 months old had also twice the risk to have low DDS compared to those older. It was the same finding when toddler meals frequency increases. During a mitigation period, giving at lower two meals to toddlers increases three times his risk to have low dietary diversity compared to those receiving over. When the toddlers received food from outside, their dietary diversity improves. In the welding period, receiving food from outside contributes significantly to improve toddlers' dietary diversity.

**Discussion**

A single food can not contain all the essential nutrients and cover the nutritional needs of people (17). A variety of foods is necessary to reach

![Table 5: Toddlers LDDS and associated factors final model according to logistic regression](image)

*P<0.05; **P<0.01; ***P≤0.001; OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval; ®: reference. LDDS: low dietary diversity score
all people's nutritional needs. Nutritional food quality improves with the increase in the number of foods products and food groups consumption (18). The present study indicates that during the three periods of the year, most of toddlers consumed cereals, condiments/beverages, sugar/sugar products, dark green leafy vegetables, fish, other vegetables, legumes/nuts/seeds, and oils/fats. Similar observations were found in other developing countries (9, 19).

Our findings agree with those of Joanne et al. who found that the main sources of energy in diets of children residing in a rural area of Burkina Faso were starchy dishes (20). Contrary to other studies conducted in coastal countries (7, 21), in the present study, most of the fish consumed was dried and in few quantities. Compared to increase and mitigation periods (dry season), more toddlers consumed dark green leafy vegetables and vitamin A-rich fruits in the welding period (rainy season). This finding was similar to those found in Ghana (7).

The toddlers’ consumption of legumes, nuts, and seeds increased significantly in the periods of increase, mitigation and welding. Contrary to Ghana, children’s legumes and nuts consumption increased significantly in the rainy season compared to the dry season (7). A few proportions (less than 50%) of toddlers consumed eggs, meat, offal, fruits (vitamin A-rich or not), red palm products, milk and dairy products, tubers white, roots and plantains, vitamin A-rich vegetables and tubers during the year 2017. The few consumption of these food groups might be due to their availability/accessibility during the year and preference or cultural beliefs. That can be explained by food preference, values, and cultural beliefs (22), financial causes (23) and individual personality, character, and attitude (24, 25).

This study revealed that the toddlers’ DDS mean decreased respectively in an increase, mitigation and welding periods. Similarly to previous studies, toddlers’ dietary diversity varied according to the periods of the year (7, 9, 10, 26). The Centre-West region toddlers’ DDS mean was high than the result of those in the Central region of Ghana (27), harvest and pre-harvest season in South-West Ethiopia (9) and in India (28). Of course, the achievement of the minimum dietary diversity of toddlers did not guarantee the adequacy of food intake of micronutrients, especially if the quantity of food consumed was too small compared to the recommendations (17).

The Centre-West region toddlers’ DDS was lower compared to that (5.7±1.2) of preschool children in poor, rural, and ethnic minority areas of Central South China (29). This difference can explain by age difference. The present study toddlers were aged 6 to 23 months, contrary to the preschool children aged three or five years in China study. The difference might be because the China study setting was only rural, and children's sociodemographic characteristics were different. According to DDS classes (low and minimum), more toddlers had a minimum DDS in the increase period compared to the mitigation and welding periods, with significant difference. This difference can explain by food availability during the increase and mitigation periods and the livestock breaking during the welding period.

It was also learned from this study that the province, household market gardening practice, household head gender, household head age, infant gender, giving toddlers food from outside, toddlers’ age and meals frequency was associated with toddlers’ DDS. Like other studies on children or women, toddlers’ DDS varied (+/-) according to periods (30). Moreover, like other studies results, older toddlers’ age was associated with minimum dietary diversity (31, 32). Indeed, when the toddler grows up, his dietary diversity increases also.

Toddlers living in households headed by a young man had more risk to have low dietary diversity compared to those over old. In line with the present study, Herrador et al., found that a child living in a household headed by the female and younger than 40 years old, had greater chances to have a more diverse diet in rural settings (33). Like the present study, some authors noted the significant association between dietary diversity and gardening or farm production diversity (34, 35). Likewise, the present study finding was confirmed by other studies on significant association with toddlers feeding and their dietary diversity (36).

The current study finding was similar to other studies that noted gender as a predictor of dietary diversity (37, 38). Most girls had high dietary diversity compared to boys. According to Keding et al., the households headed by a women had higher DDS than those headed by a men. Similar studies noted the association of geographical situation and people’s dietary diversity (39). This can be explained partially by province development difference and market access. A significant association was not found between toddlers’ dietary diversity and households’ socioeconomic status firstly and secondly between toddlers’ dietary diversity and the households head education level. The educated household head knows the importance of a balanced diet for their toddlers.

Perhaps this result might be due to the homogeneity of the sample according to parent educational level and socioeconomic status. Contrary
to the present study, similar study found association between DDS and household socioeconomic (33). Some nutrition-sensitive and specific programs across the country ministerial sectors are necessary to increase agricultural production diversity, and access to nutritious foods. As for strengths, the study noted some advantages such as the 24 hours recall was observed to be less prone to errors and required less effort to the interviewees. The determination of dietary diversity score is quick and easy.

Likewise, the study design was longitudinal and permitted to compare toddlers’ diet quality from three periods in the same households. As limitations, this study was conducted in one region of Burkina Faso; therefore, the results cannot be generalized at the national level. The future study must consider the quantity of food consumed with larger sample size at the country level. To improve toddlers’ nutrition, multisector interventions formulation and implementation are necessary.

**Conclusion**

Toddlers’ diet was dominated mainly by starchy staples; it varied according to the periods of the year and was also influenced by some sociodemographic and economic characteristics. During the agricultural increase, mitigation and welding periods, respectively the percentage of toddlers who reached the minimum dietary diversity decrease. Most of the girls had high dietary diversity compared to boys. However, household head age and gender are crucial to improve toddlers’ dietary diversity. In period of livestock breaking (welding), parents give toddlers dark green leafy vegetables, vitamin A-rich fruits, and other foods that contribute to improve their dietary diversity. Outside foods consumption contributes significantly to improve toddlers’ dietary diversity. Additional, giving at least three meals by day during all periods of year is good practice to improve toddlers DDS.
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