The Effect of Feeding Genetically Modified Soybean on Histopathology of Organs in Sprague-Dawley Rats
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ABSTRACT

Background: Concerns about the negative impacts of genetically modified (GM) crops have grown worldwide, necessitating research to determine robust standards. The scientific community has focused on unacceptably harmful impacts of diets consisting of GM crops. Animal experiments are providing valuable and important information regarding the safety of GM crops for both animal and human consumption. In this regard in this study, the effects of a diet containing 70% GM soybean on organs of rats were investigated.

Methods: Twenty four male Sprague-Dawley rats were randomly assigned to two groups and were fed with a diet containing either (70%) GM soybean or (0%) GM soybean (control) for 120 days. Histopathological examination and appearance of organs were compared between rats fed non-GM soybean and those fed GM soybean.

Results: All rats fed GM soybean diet and non-GM soybean diet had normal small intestine, large intestine, stomach, cecum and testes and no histopathological changes were observed. But, some histopathological alterations were seen in the brain, heart, lungs, liver, kidneys, and spleen in both groups; although, the Fisher’s Exact test showed that there were no statistically significant differences (p > 0.05) between the two groups in terms of the frequency of normal and abnormal parts of them.

Conclusion: Regardless of whether the diet consisted of GM or non-GM feed ingredients, the alterations were seen in a similar range in both groups.
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Introduction

The global cultivation of genetically modified (GM) crops for food and feed reached 191.7 million hectares in 26 countries in 2018 (1). In
many countries, over 200 different GM crops with varying features have been approved for eating (2). The majority of GM crops have one or more new genes that improve them against herbicide resistance, insect or disease resistance, and salt and drought tolerance (3, 4). Ingredients such as corn syrup, fats/oils, starch, proteins, colorants, flavors, and other products derived from GM crops can be found in the livestock feed as well as in the human food (5).

Soybeans are a major commercial crop with high oil and protein content that are used for human consumption and animal feeding all over the world (6) and are under influence of various factors which might result in low quality products. For instance, there are concerns about weed threat, which results in poorer soybean yields (7). In this regard, herbicide-resistant GM crops can help increase crop yields by reducing weed pressure and reducing herbicide use, which reduces crop residues and pollution (8). Expression of the enolpyruvate shikimate-3-phosphate synthase (EPSPS) gene confers the soybean resistance to Roundup herbicides (9). Globally, GM soybeans have the highest adoption rate, accounting for 95.9 million hectares of GM agricultural land, which accounts for 78 percent of global soybean production (1).

The first transgenic organism was developed in 1970, and arguments over the commercialization of GM crops quickly followed (10). Following the publication of the first report on genetically modified organisms (GMOs) environmental concerns, the scientific community began to focus on the damages that are unacceptable, as well as the methodologies for assessing such consequences (11). A common concern in most countries is the consumption of products (e.g. milk, meat, and eggs) derived from animals fed GM feed (12). The debate on the effects of GM food and feed on the humans and animals health is still in progress. Many publications have reviewed the safety of GM crops in animals and humans. Many studies have found relatively minor differences between GM and non-GM feed groups that were not biologically significant (9, 13-15).

They concluded that the GM feed are as safe as the non-GM (9, 13-15). However, there are studies that indicate serious health problems related to the GM crops consumption, including immune problems, infertility, insulin dysregulation, accelerated aging, and changes in vital organs and the gastrointestinal tract. They stated that the risk of GM crops cannot be overlooked, and that further research is needed to uncover potential long-term impacts of GM food intake, which could aid in post-market surveillance (16, 17). The consumption of GM crops has been recently increased, which means evaluating GM crops is more necessary. So in this study, we assessed the biological hazards associated with the GM soybean feeding in rats based on histopathological examination.

Materials and Methods

Twenty-four healthy-looking male Sprague-Dawley (SD) rats, approximately 8-weeks old and weighting an average of 263±16 g were obtained from Center of Comparative and Experimental Medicine, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran. The animal room was maintained at a temperature of 22±2°C with a relative humidity of 60±5% and a 12-h light/12-h dark cycles. After acclimation, rats were randomly divided into 2 experimental groups (n=12/group). The rats received food and water ad libitum. During two weeks of acclimatization, all of the rats were fed the rodent basic feed. Transgenic soybean (GM soybean) and traditional soybean (non-GM soybean) were incorporated into rodent diets at concentration of 70% (w/w). These diets were produced in accordance with AIN93M guidelines (18) and the ethical approval was granted by Ethical Committee of Shiraz University of Medical Sciences (IR.SUMS.REC.1399.1342).

At the end of the 120-day experimental period, after sacrificing by using ketamine, tissue samples of vital organs (brain, heart, liver, lungs, kidney, spleen, small intestine, large intestine, stomach, cecum, testes) were collected and fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin (pH: 7.4, Sigma–Aldrich, Saint Louis, USA). After preparation of animal tissues from the non-GM soybean group and GM soybean group, serial sections of paraffin-embedded tissues of 5-μm thicknesses were cut by microtome and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) and studied under a light microscope.

Obtained data were analyzed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows (version 21, IBM Corp., NY, and USA). Fishers Exact test analysis was used to study the relationships between the abnormality of organs and diet. Differences between values were considered statistically significant at a (p<0.05).

Results

Selected organs to evaluate the histological changes associated with the GM soybean feeding in rats based on examined histological parameters such as brain, heart, lungs, liver, kidney, spleen, small intestine, large intestine, stomach, testes were illustrated in Table 1. The brains of all rats in the GM soybean group and four rats in the non-GM soybean group were abnormal histologically (Table 1). Among the changes observed in the
brain, hemorrhage in different parts of the brain was the most frequent in the GM group (Figure 1). However, the Fisher’s Exact test showed that there was not any statistically significant difference ($p > 0.05$) between the two groups in terms of the frequency of normal and abnormal different parts of the brain (Table 2).

The hearts of all rats in the GM soybean group and six rats in the non-GM soybean group were abnormal histologically (Table 1). Among the changes observed in the heart, dilation of subepicardial capillaries and necrosis in GM group and hemorrhage in both groups were the most frequent (Figure 2). However, the Fisher’s Exact test showed that there was no statistically significant difference ($p > 0.05$) between the two groups in terms of the frequency of normal and abnormal parts of the heart (Table 2).

Six rats from the non-GM soybean group and three rats from the GM soybean group had normal spleen without any histological abnormalities after 120-day (Table 1). Some rats on a diet containing GM soybean showed splenic cyst with squamous metaplasia, severe congestion and lymphoid depletion in a few of white pulps (Figure 3). One rat on a diet containing non-GM soybean showed a metaplastic capsule (Figure 3). However, the Fisher’s Exact test showed that there was not any statistically significant difference ($p > 0.05$) between the two groups in terms of the frequency of normal and abnormal parts of the spleen (Table 2).

There were no histological alterations in the lungs of four rats from the non-GM soybean group and six
rats from the GM soybean group (Table 1). However, two rats on a diet containing non-GM soybean and one rat on a diet containing GM soybean showed interstitial pneumonia and one rat from each group showed pulmonary hemorrhage (Figure 4A). The Fisher's Exact test showed that there was no statistically significant difference ($p > 0.05$) between the two groups in terms of the frequency of normal and abnormal parts of the lungs (Table 2). In case of the lungs, observed changes were similar in regard to their appearance in both groups, regardless of the tested GM feed components.
Because of its central function in regulating the entire metabolism, the liver is a good model for observing the effects of a diet. Four rats from non-GM soybean group showed a normal liver structure without any histopathological changes and three rats of this group showed histopathological alterations as congestion, portal lymphocytic hepatitis and biliary hyperplasia (Figure 4B). But, all rats in GM soybean group showed histopathological modifications as portal hepatitis lymphocytic and biliary hyperplasia. The Fisher’s Exact test showed that there was not a statistically significant difference between the two groups in terms of the frequency of normal and abnormal parts of the liver (Table 2).

Four rats from non-GM soybean group and five rats from GM soybean group had normal kidney without any histopathological changes. However, two rats on a diet containing GM soybean showed Periglomerular interstitial lymphocytic nephritis and mild epithelial alterations (Figure 4C). Three rats on a diet containing non-GM soybean showed mild epithelial changes and severe congestion. The Fisher’s Exact test showed that there was not a statistically significant difference between the two groups in terms of the frequency of normal and abnormal parts of the kidney (Table 1). All rats on a diet containing GM soybean and on a diet containing non-GM soybean, demonstrated normal small intestine, large intestine, cecum and stomach without any histopathological changes. All rats on a diet containing GM soybean and on a diet containing non-GM soybean, illustrated normal testes without any histopathological changes.

Discussion

In the histological parameters of present study, all rats of control and experimental groups demonstrated normal small intestine, large intestine, cecum, stomach and testes. The Fisher’s Exact tests showed that there were not a statistically significant difference between the two groups in terms of the frequency of normal and abnormal parts of brain, heart, liver, spleen, lungs and kidney.
The use of GM crops in animal feed is increasing continually, which has sparked a considerable controversy regarding GM feeds. Despite a number of researches which demonstrated GM crops did not have any effects on animal health, the safety of this feed is still debated in many countries (19-21). Kulikov has shown opposite opinions to exist that were experimentally documented (22). Therefore, for the public to accept these products, safety assessments of new GM products should be performed. Animal experiments provide important information regarding the safety of GM plants for both human and animal consumption (23).

In this study, various histopathological changes were seen in brain, heart, liver, spleen, lungs and kidney of both groups. For example, the liver of all rats in the GM soybean group and three rats in control group were abnormal histologically. According to some studies, these histopathological changes may have different reasons (e.g., age and strain of animal). Delaney et al. (21) reported a few of rats had focal lymphocytic foci in their livers and they stated that GM soybean feeding may impact on liver during aging in Sprague–Dawley rats.

Eissa et al. (2) mentioned that histopathological changes in liver (basophilic focal necrotic areas, spontaneously focal and multifocal angiectasis) of GM soybean group may occur with age. Appenzeller et al. (24) observed some changes in liver and inflammatory cell infiltrates in lungs in a number of rats but they mentioned that they are common findings among experimental rats of this strain and age. Qi et al. (25) reported some changes in a number of rats tested with transgenic soybeans, but they pointed out that these changes are common in this strain. Tang et al. also reported that the histopathological changes in rat were fed GM rice for 90 days occur spontaneously in Sprague–Dawley rats of this age (26).

Based on our finding, no group-related histopathological observations were presented in kidney. Smith (27) demonstrated that feeding rats with MON 863 Bt maize led to nephritis and lesions in kidney and liver. So, Kilic and Akay (28) observed some changes in kidney (e.g. minimal tubular degenerations and enlargements in parietal layer of Bowman’s capsule at different ratios in groups). Reduction in average short and long diameter of glomeruli and glomerular volume in rats on a standard diet (containing 20% non-transgenic maize) and rats on a diet (containing 20% transgenic Bt maize) were statistically different from controls while changes in the cortical thickness were not significant between groups (28). Song et al. observed pulmonary interstitial hemorrhage in the control and treatment groups, but they stated that this is a common finding among experimental rats of this strain and age (29).

The histopathological changes of heart and brain were particularly surprising in control and treatment groups. Some studies have suggested that histopathological changes in the heart may be due to aging and were not considered to the test diets containing the GMO (26, 29). Although, no previous studies have looked at the brain, the mechanisms responsible for these severe changes in the brain are still unknown. In this study, a typical histopathological observation of testes, intestine and stomach were not visible. Similar to our results, Tang et al. reported the histopathological trial revealing no changes in the intestinal tract of rats that were fed by genetically modified rice (26).

However, several studies have found the opposite of our findings, for example, transgenic soybean generated moderate inflammation in salmons’ distal colon (30). Moreover, Fares and El-Sayed observed that feeding transgenic potato to mice resulted in proliferative cell growth as well as abnormal and damaged cells in the small intestine (31). According to Vecchio et al., mice fed GM soybean for more than 8 months had nuclear transcription anomalies in their testes (32). In addition, they found that the nuclear pore density was lower and the number of perichromatin granules was higher for the GM-fed mice of all ages (32). Moreover, the smooth endoplasmic reticulum of Sertoli cells was larger for the GM-fed mice (32). Keshani et al. (33) reported that GM foods had no adverse effects on fertility indices and on infertility indices (sperm head, sperm motility, sperm abnormality) (34).

Conclusion

Regardless of the diets content of GM soybean or free from GM soybean, histopathological changes were observed in some organs. The changes in the kidneys, heart and some alterations of liver might be due to age. The small intestine, large intestine, cecum, stomach and testes did not show any histopathological modifications. No previous studies have looked at the brain, so more research with different doses, longer durations, and larger sample size is required. However, safety testing, effective policies and food labeling should all be developed.
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