# **International Journal of Nutrition Sciences**

Journal Home Page: ijns.sums.ac.ir

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

# The Association between Macronutrient Quality Index and Global Dietary Quality Score with Metabolic Syndrome among Iranian Male Staff Population

Siavash Babajafari<sup>1</sup>, Maryam Taghdir<sup>2</sup>, Hosein Rostami<sup>2\*</sup>

1. Nutrition Research Center, School of Nutrition and Food Sciences, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran 2. Health Research Center, Life Style Institute, Baqiyatallah University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

ARTICLE INFO ABSTRACT **Background:** Diet quality indices such as Global Dietary Quality Score Keywords: Metabolic syndrome (GDQS) and Macronutrient Quality Index (MQI) have been suggested Macronutrient quality index to be linked to non-communicable diseases. However, they are rarely Global dietary quality score studied in relation to metabolic syndrome (MetS). Thus, we aimed to Diet investigate the association between GDQS and MQI with MetS among Obesity Iranian male staff. **Methods:** Four-hundred male personnels aged  $\geq 18$  years old were enrolled in this cross-sectional study. The GDQS and MQI were calculated using the measures of a vlidated 168-item food frequency questionaire (FFQ). In addition, by measuring waist circumference (WC), fasting blood sugar (FBS), blood pressure (BP), high density lipoprotein choldesterol (HDL-C), and triglyceride (TG). Results: The prevalence of MetS was 20.3%. In addition, the MQI was inversly and significantly associated with the risk of having high TG in the adjusted model. However, no significant correlations were seen between MQI and MetS, FBS, WC, HDL-C, and BP. Moreover, in the \*Corresponding author: Hosein Rostami, PhD; adjusted model, the GDQS was negatively and significantly associated Health Research Center, Life Style with the risk of low HDL-C. No significant association was observed Institute, University of Medical between GDQS and MetS, FBS, WC, TG, and BP. Sciences, Tehran, Iran. Email: hosein tostami59@vahoo.com Conclusion: The GDQS and MQI were not correlated with the risk of Received: July 7, 2024 MetS. A higher diet quality measured by GDQS and MQI can be inversely Revised: September 1, 2024 associated with the risk of dyslipidemia as a major component of MetS. Accepted: September 9, 2024

Please cite this article as: Babajafari S, Taghdir M, Rostami H. The Association between Macronutrient Quality Index and Global Dietary Quality Score with Metabolic Syndrome among Iranian Male Staff Population. Int J Nutr Sci. 2025;10(1):27-35. doi: 10.30476/ijns.2025.102413.1318.

#### Introduction

Metabolic syndrome (MetS) is defined by having at least three components of impaired glucose metabolism, low level of high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, elevated blood pressure, hypertriglyceridemia and central obesity based on the International Diabetes Federation and the American Heart Association definitions (1, 2). This disorder has attracted the attention of many researchers due to its relationship with diabetes and cardiovascular diseases (3). According to the Framingham study, MetS alone accounts for 25% of new cardiovascular disease (CVD) cases (4). Available evidence has estimated that the

Copyright: © International Journal of Nutrition Sciences. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. This license allows reusers to copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format for any purpose, even commercially. It also allows users to remix, transform, and build upon the material for any purpose, even commercially.

prevalence of the MetS is up to 33% in Iran (5).

The efficitiveness of dietary modifications in the prevention and treatment of MetS has been described before (6). Modification in proportion of macronutrients and adherence to high-quality diets are recommended in the management of MetS (7). Dietary quality indices are extensively employed to assess the correlation between overall dietary habits and the risk factors associated with chronic diseases. Global dietary quality score (GDQS) is a new diet quality assessment method to evaluate both under and over-nutrition (8)wal. In summary, the GDQS is a nutrition-based measure assessing the quality of diet based on a comprehensive list of healthy and unhealthy food group intakes (9)ÿÿÿ. Previous findings suggest an inverse association between GDQS and diet indicating a higher diet quality with cardio-metabolic outcomes including CVDs, diabetes mellitus (DM), body mass index (BMI), body fat, diastolic blood pressure, abdominal obesity, high density lipoprotein choldesterol (HDL-C) and low density lipoprotein choldesterol (LDL-C) (10, 11).

Macronutrient quality index (MQI) encompasses three sub-indices that each carries equal weight and represents the macronutrient classes of proteins, carbohydrates, and fats (12). To our knowledge, there is no available study on the correlation between MQI and MetS. Limited researches found controversial relationships between MQI sub-indices and the risk of MetS (13-15). Higher diet quality characterized by consuming higher nutrient-dense food groups such as whole grains, fruits, legumes, low-fat dairy products, nuts and vegetables, in addition to a lower intake of saturated fatty acids (SFAs), trans fatty acids (TFAs), sugars, processed and refined carbohydrates was shown to be related to a lower risk of cardiometabolic diseases; particularly MetS (16-19). However, the effectiveness of some dietary quality indices including the Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension (DASH) and the Mediterranean Diet in the management of MetS are widely established (19, 20), but there are inadequate studies to investigate the relationship between MQI and GDQS with the risk of MetS or its components. Hence, we aimed to assess the correlation of MQI and GDQS with the risk of MetS or its components among Iranian male staff.

# **Materials and Methods**

This cross-sectional study was conducted among 400 healthy male staff aged  $\geq 18$  years old. The participants were recruited from various office centers between September 2020 and January 2022 using a cluster sampling method in Tehran, Iran.

The data was obtained from a previous research to determine the sample size as explained by Parastouei *et al.* (21) utilizing the Peduzzi approach  $[n=10\times k/P]$ ; where the K represents the number of indeoendant variables and P is the prevalence of the outcome. Considering 4 dietary patterns as the independent variables and depression with the prevalence of 16% as the main outcome in the mentioned study, the minimum sample size was calculated to be 250 participants. Regarding the availibility of target population, a totalof 400 participants were included in the study.

Participants were included if they were a male staff aged 18 years or older. Exclusion criteria were any history of psychological disease, taking psychiatric medication, eating disorders, malignancies, kidney and liver failure, colitis, adrenal diseases, CVDs, and thyroid and parathyroid diseases. Those with daily energy intake lower than 800 or higher than 4,000 Kcal were excluded. An informed consent was obtained from all participants. In addition, characteristics of participants such as age, past medical history, drug history, and smoking status were asked using a demographic questionnaire. Moreover, physical activity was assessed by using the 7-item International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ) (22). This present study was approved by the the Medical Ethics Committee of the Baqiyatallah University of Medical Science, Tehran, Iran (IR. BMSU.REC.459).

Standard protocols were used to measure anthropometric variables including weight, height, BMI, and waist circumference (WC) (21). A total of 10 mL venous blood sample was collected from each participant and stored at -18°C for further analysis. Serum triglyceride (TG), HDL-C, and fasting blood sugar (FBS) were assessed by using standard commercial kits (Pars Azmoon Inc., Iran). In addition, systolic and diastolic blood pressures were measured using a calibrated sphygmomanometer after a 15-minute sitting position. The participants were diagnosed with MetS according to The National Cholesterol Education Program's Adult Treatment Panel III report (ATP III) (23).

Dietary intakes were collected using a validated semi-quantitive 168-item food frequency questionaire (FFQ) (24). The FFQ was consisted of 9 general food groups of dairy, meat and fish, eggs, fruits, vegetables, legumes and cereals, oils and fats, pastries, beverages, and miscellaneous foods. Standard portion size and frequency of intake from "never or almost never" to "more than 6 times a day" were recorded for each food item. Food ingredients such as food groups, macronutrients, and micronutrients were extracted

using NUTRITIONIST IV software (version 7.0; N-Squared Computing, Salem, OR, USA).

MQI consists of 3 variables including Carbohydrate Quality Index (CQI), Healthy Plate Protein source Quality Index (HPPQI), and Fat Quality Index (FQI). The CQI is calculated based on 4 domains of Glycemic Index (GI), ratio of whole grains to total cereals, intake of total dietary fiber, and ratio of solid to total carbohydrate. HPPQI was calculated as the ratio of healthy protein sources including nuts, pulses, poultry, and seafood to unhealthy proteins (red meat, cheese, and processed meat). In addition, FQI was determined using the formula of (monounsaturated fatty acids: MUFAs+polyunsaturated fatty acids: PUFAs)/(SFAs+TFAs). For each index, participants were categorized into quintiles with values ranging from 1 to 5. The MQI score was calculated as the sum of three values of all indices, ranging from 3 (the lowest quality) to 15 (the highest quality) (25).

GDQS as another valid food-based dietary quality measure constisted of 25 food items including 16 healthy food groups, 7 unhealthy food groups, and 2 food groups (high-fat dairy product and red meat) that are considered unhealthy when consumed in excess. A higher intake of healthy food group and a lower intake of unhealthy food group represent a higher quality diet. Up to a particular treshold, increased consumption of red meat and high-fat diary products could increase the GDQS, however, upper quantities could decrease the point of GDQS. Thus, the total GDQS ranged from 0 to 49. The GDQS can also be categorized into GDQS+(costisted of 16 healthy food groups with a possible score from 0 to 32) and GDQS– (included 7 unhealthy food groups, high-fat dairy, and red meat ranging from 0 to 17) (26, 27).

The normality of the variables was assessed using Kolmogrov-Smirnov test. In addition, values were presented as mean±standard deviation (SD), median (interquartile range), or frequency (%). Differences between healthy participants and those with MetS were evaluated using independent sample t-test for normal values, Mann-Whitney for nonnormal parameters, and Chi-Square for qualitative variables. Binary logistic regression was used

	aracteristic of participants i				
Variable		ic syndrome	Total population	P value	
	No (n=319)	Yes (n=81)	(n=400)		
Demographic					
Age <sup>1,a</sup> (years)	38.0 (35.0,42.0)	37.0 (34.0, 42.0)	38.0 (35.0-42.0)	0.181	
Weight <sup>1,a</sup> (kg)	77.0 (70.0,84.0)	80.0 (74.5, 86.0)	78.0 (70.0-85.0)	0.023	
Height <sup>1,a</sup> (cm)	177.0 (172.0,182.0)	175.0 (170.5, 180.0)	177.0 (172.0-181.0)	0.051	
Physical activity <sup>2,b</sup>				0.664	
Low	66 (20.7)	15 (18.5)	81 (20.2)		
Moderate/high	253 (79.3)	66 (81.5)	319 (79.8)		
Smoking <sup>2,b</sup>				0.757	
No	306 (95.9)	77 (95.1)	383 (95.7)		
Yes	13 (4.1)	4 (4.9)	17 (4.3)		
Drug use <sup>2,b</sup>				0.763	
No	239 (74.9)	62 (76.5)	301 (75.2)		
Yes	80 (25.1)	19 (23.5)	99 (24.8)		
Disease history <sup>2,b</sup>				0.852	
No	245 (76.8)	63 (77.8)	308 (77.0)		
Yes	74 (23.2)	18 (22.2)	92 (23.0)		
Anthropometric and	metabolic profile				
$BMI^{3,c}$ (kg/m <sup>2)</sup>	25.0±3.1	26.3±3.4	25.3±3.2	0.001	
WC <sup>1,a</sup> (cm)	94.0 (86.0-96.0)	96.0 (89.0-102.0)	86.0 (94.0-98.0)	< 0.001	
HDL <sup>3,c</sup> (mg/dL)	44.1±6.8	38.7±6.0	43.0±7.0	< 0.001	
TG <sup>1,a</sup> (mg/dL)	373.4 (284.6, 548.3)	381.8 (265.9, 608)	147.0 (135.0-160.0)	< 0.001	
SBP <sup>1,a</sup> (mmHg)	120 (120, 125)	130 (120, 130)	120.0 (120.0-130.0)	< 0.001	
DBP <sup>1,a</sup> (mmHg)	80 (70, 80)	80 (80, 90)	70.0 (80.0-80.0)	< 0.001	
FBS <sup>1,a</sup> (mg/dL)	117 (108.6, 125.6)	120.1 (114.5,129.5)	117.6 (110.4-126.3)	0.005	

BMI: Body mass index; WC: Waist circumference; HDL: High-density lipoprotein; TG: Triglyceride; SBP: Systolic blood pressure; DBP: Diastolic blood pressure; FBS: Fasting blood sugar; SFA: Saturated fatty acids; MUFA: Mono-unsaturated fatty acids; PUFA, poly-unsaturated fatty acids. Values are presented as mean $\pm$ SD or median (IQR) for continuous and percentage for categorical variables. <sup>a</sup>Values are presented as median (IQR), <sup>b</sup>values are peresented as frequency (percentage), <sup>c</sup>Values are presented as mean $\pm$ SD, <sup>1</sup>Using Mann-Whiteny u-test for continuous variables. <sup>a</sup>Using chi-square tests for categorical variables. <sup>3</sup>Using independent sample t-test for continuous variables. A *p*<0.05 was considered as the significance level.

to assess the correlation between MQI or GDQS and the risk of MetS or its components, adjusted for covariates with p<0.2 and clinically important factors. The final results were reported as odds ratios (ORs) and confidence interwals (CIs) regarding the statistical significance level of 0.05. All analyses were performed using SPSS software (version 26.0, (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

#### Results

Totally, 400 male staff with the median age of 38.0 (Range: 35.0-42.0) were recruited. The prevalence of MetS was about 20.3% in men staff population (81 out of 400 participants). Baseline characteristic of participants including demographic, anthropometric, and metabolic factors were shown in Table 1. Participants with MetS had significantly

higher body weight (p=0.023), BMI (p=0.001), WC (p<0.001), TG (p<0.001), and FBS (p=0.005). However, the MetS group had significant lower level of HDL compared to healthy population (p<0.001). In addition. No significant differences were observed in relation to age, height, physical activity, smoking status, drug use, and disease history between the two groups.

The result of the intake of macronutrients and food groups were represented in Table 2. The analyses showed that the intake of fish and shellfish, deep orange vegetables, and green leafy vegetables were significantly higher in healthy group when compared to participants with MetS (p=040; p=0.002; and p=0.039, respectively). While, there were no significant differences between the 2 groups regarding other food parameters. Table 3

Table 2: Nutrients intake of participants in relation to metabolic syndrome.								
Variable	Metabo	<i>P</i> value*						
	No (n=319)	Yes (n=81)	0.507					
Energy (Kcal)	2028.6 (1544.6, 2873.6)	2031.7 (1430.9, 2516.4)	0.501					
Protein (g/d)	72.9 (53.4, 103.4)	69.6 (50.7, 94.9)	0.351					
Carbohydrate (g/d)	295.6 (216.9, 434.5)	281.5 (194.2, 388.1)	0.371					
Total fat (g/d)	71.8 (53.2, 100.5)	74.6 (49.0, 96.2)	0.806					
Cholesterol (mg/d)	373.4 (284.6, 548.3)	381.8 (265.9, 608)	0.828					
SFA (g/d)	22.1 (16.1, 33.4)	23.0 (15.1, 32.7)	0.938					
MUFA (g/d)	23.6 (17.1, 33.8)	23.2 (16.1, 33.5)	0.917					
PUFA (g/d)	13.2 (9.2, 19.8)	12.1 (8.8, 20.3)	0.939					
Citrus fruits (g/d)	15.6 (5.6. 35.9)	124.3 (71.5, 234.3)	0.610					
Deep orange fruits (g/d)	13.9 (7.5, 30)	201.6 (139.9, 327.1)	0.946					
Other fruits (g/d)	176.9 (109.9, 350.4)	167.9 (101, 312)	0.354					
Dark green leafy vegetables (g/d)	63.2 (37.2, 116.3)	52 (33.4, 86.6)	0.040					
Cruciferous vegetables (g/d)	129.9 (74.1, 238.5)	15.6 (5.6, 22.6)	0.571					
Deep orange vegetables (g/d)	13.9 (7.5, 30)	7.5 (7.5, 23.6)	0.002					
Other vegetables (g/d)	146 (88.5, 243)	133.3 (89.9, 193.2)	0.464					
Legumes (g/d)	12.5 (9.3, 20.3)	12.4 (9.8, 19.7)	0.667					
Deep orange tubers (g/d)	17.9 (4.5, 21.4)	12.5 (4.5, 17.9)	0.624					
Nuts and seeds (g/d)	19.2 (9.6, 38.4)	19.9 (16.1, 30.3)	0.254					
Whole grains (g/d)	1.1 (1.1, 4.3)	1.1 (1.1, 3.5)	0.149					
Liquid oils (g/d)	2.6 (1.1, 6.2)	3.4 (1.1, 5.6)	0.570					
Fish and shellfish (g/d)	7.0 (3.8, 15)	3.8 (3.8, 11.8)	0.039					
Poultry game meats (g/d)	8.6 (5.4, 17.2)	8.6 (5.4, 17.2)	0.632					
Low fat dairy (g/d)	185.5 (85.7, 358.2)	175.5 (107.4, 355.7)	0.657					
Eggs (g/d)	8.6 (8.6, 25.7)	25.7 (8.6, 25.7)	0.095					
High fat dairy (g/d)	73.6 (39.6, 140)	73.9 (31.1, 151.6)	0.891					
Red meat (g/d)	36.2 (26, 64.6)	34.6 (24.1, 54)	0.276					
Processed meat (g/d)	6.3 (4.1, 13.6)	4.1 (4.1, 10.3)	0.103					
Refined grains and baked goods (g/d)		191.5 (141.5, 245.9)	0.435					
Sweets and ice cream $(g/d)$	67.6 (45.2, 104.7)	59.9 (42.7, 102.4)	0.487					
Sugar sweetened beverages (g/d)	44.8 (35.7, 116.3)	44.8 (19.6, 116.3)	0.582					
Juices (g/d)	62.5 (35.7, 142.8)	35.7 (35.7, 142.8)	0.975					
White root tubers (g/d)	12.9 (12,9, 38.6)	12.9 (12.9, 38.6)	0.846					
Fried foods (g/d)	17.1 (5.7, 18.6)	17.1 (5.7, 18.6)	0.978					

SFA: Saturated fatty acids; MUFA: Mono-unsaturated fatty acids; PUFA: Poly-unsaturated fatty acids. Values are presented as median (IQR). \*Using Mann-Whiteny u-test for continuous variables. A p<0.05 was considered as the significance level.

Table 3: Diet quality components of study participants in relation to metabolic syndrome.								
Variable	Met	P value						
	No (n=319)	Yes (n=81)						
GDQS healthy <sup>1a</sup>	17.8 (14.8, 20.5)	17.8 (15.5, 20.4)	0.940					
GDQS unhealthy <sup>1a</sup>	9 (8, 11)	9 (8, 11)	0.605					
GDQS total <sup>2b</sup>	26.7±4.1	26.7±3.8	0.945					
Total.GI <sup>1a</sup>	51.7 (49, 55)	52.1 (48.8, 54.6)	0.831					
Dietary fiber <sup>1</sup> (g/d)	0.02 (0.01, 0.03)	0.01 (0.01, 0.03)	0.753					
Solid/total carbohydrate <sup>1a</sup>	0.91 (0.88, 0.94)	0.91 (0.85, 0.94)	0.310					
Whole grain/total grain <sup>1a</sup>	0.18 (0.10, 0.29)	0.17 (0.09, 0.26)	0.479					
HPPQI <sup>1a</sup>	1.6 (1.1, 2.2)	1.6 (1.1, 2.4)	0.641					
FQI <sup>1a</sup>	1.6 (1.4, 1.9)	1.6 (1.4, 1.8)	0.357					
$CQI^{1a}$	12.0 (10.0, 14.0)	10.0 (8.0, 13.0)	0.005					
MQI <sup>1a</sup>	9.0 (7.0, 11.0)	8.0 (7.0, 10.0)	0.031					

GDQS: Global diet quality score; GI: Glycemic index; HPPQI: healthy plate protein quality index; FQI: Fat quality index; CQI: Carbohydrate quality index; MQI: Macronutrient quality index. Values are mean (SD) or median (IQR) for continuous and percentage for categorical variables. <sup>a</sup>Values are presented as median (IQR); <sup>b</sup>Values are presented as mean $\pm$ SD. <sup>1</sup>Using Mann-Whiteny u-test for continuous variables. <sup>2</sup>Using independent sample t-test for continuous variables. A *p*<0.05 was considered as the significance level.

shows the result of the MQI, DGQS, and their components among healthy population and MetS group. Although, no significant differences were observed in DGQS and its components, CQI and MQI were significantly lower in patients with MetS compared to healthy participants (p=0.005; p=0.031, respectively).

The association between MQI and DGQS with MetS or its components were shown in Table 4. The adjusted model demonstrated that any point increase in the MQI was associated with nearly 13% increase in the risk of having high TG (OR=0.866, CI=0.774-0.970, p=0.013). Additionally, every unit elevation

in the GDQS was correlated with about 8% increase in the risk of having a low HDL-C (OR=0.924, CI= 0.875-0.975, p=0.004). No significant correlation was seen between MQI or GDQS with the risk of MetS, FBS, WC, and BP.

#### Discussion

MetS is among the greatest health-related concerns globally. Lifestyle modifications particularly dietary approaches has been a key component in the management of MetS for a long time. The result of the current study showed that the prevalence of MetS among men staff is about 20%. In addition, the

Variable	High BP		High WC		High FBS		High TG		Low HDL		MetS	
	OR (CI	Р	OR (CI	P	OR (CI	P	OR (CI	Р	OR (CI	Р	OR (CI	P
	95%)	value	95%)	value	95%)	value	95%)	value	95%)	value	95%)	value
MQI												
Crude	1.006	0.876	0.935	0.229	1.021	0.624	0.867	0.009	0.993	0.866	0.925	0.098
Adjusted	(0.932-	0.974	(0.838-	0.284	(0.938-	0.752)	(0.779-	0.013	(0.920-	0.853	(0.843-	0.083
	1.086		1.043)		1.112)		0.965)		1.073)		1.015)	
	1.001		0.936		1.014		0.866		0.993		0.918	
	(0.926-		(0.830-		(0.930-		(0.774-		(0.918-		(0.834-	
	1.083)		1.056)		1.106)		0.970)		1.074)		1.011)	
GDQS												
Crude	1.042	0.118	1.044	0.254	1.009	0.770	0.974	0.444	0.931	0.008	1.002	0.945
Adjusted	(0.989-	0.441	(0.970-	0.154	(0.953-	0.983	(0.909-	0.668	(0.883-	0.004	(0.943-	0.554
-	1.0.98)		1.123)		1.067)		1.043)		0.981)		1.065)	
	0.984		0.953		1.000		0.988		0.924		0.985	
	(0.945-		(0.893-		(0.956-		(0.934-		(0.875-		(0.937-	
	1.025)		1.018)		1.045)		1.045)		0.975)		1.035)	

BP: Blood pressure; WC: Waist circumference; FBS: Fasting blood sugar; TG: Triglyceride; HDL: High-density lipoprotein; OR: Odds ratio; CI: Confident interval; GDQS: Global diet quality score; MQI: Macronutrient quality index. Obtained from logistic regression. Adjusted for energy intake, age, BMI, smoking, physical activity, drug use, and disease history. The p<0.05 was considered as the significance level.

CQI and MQI were significantly higher in healthy participants compared to those with MetS. Moreover, higher diet quality based on MQI was associated with the lower risk of having hypertriglyceridemia. Another significant finding was that the GDQS was positively associated with HDL-C. However, no significant corellation was observed between GDQS or MQI and the risk of MetS.

The result of the present study showed no significant correlation between GDQS with the MetS, FBS, WC, TG, and BP. In an inconsistant cohort study conducted to assess GDQS (measured through a 3-day food recall) in relation to nutrient inadequacy and MetS among chinese population, the findings showed that GDQS was negatively associated with MetS and nutrient inadequacy (28). In addition, a cross-sectional study on Thai males and females revealed that application-based GDQS which collected 24-h food recalls of the particpants, was possitively associated with HDL-C and 24-h urinary potassium in contrast to inverse correlation between GDQS and BMI, body fat percentage, diastolic blood pressure, high midupper arm circumference, abdominal obesity, and sodium intake score. Thus, GDQS apps efficiently evaluated metabolic risk factor among healthy population (10).

It should be addressed that both inconstent studies dietary intake were collected through food recalls, while in the present study FFQ was used. Regarding the non-significant correlation between GDQS and the risk of MetS, it is worthy to show that the overall diet quality is certainly important in the managenment of MetS, while other contributers such as particular micronutrient deficiencies and lifestylerelated factors may notably affect the development of MetS. However, this study failed to find association between the GDQS and MetS, and the GDQS was inversly correlated with the risk of low HDL-C. Indeed, a higher diet quality was assumed to be correlated with an increased HDL-C level. Potential underlying link might be that high-quality dietary patterns emphasize the consumption of nuts, seeds, and fish, as well as, limitation of processed food which can lead to an increase in HDL-C due to the augmented intake of PUFAs besides restricted sources of TFAs (29, 30).

The result of the current study revealed that the MQI was associated with lower likelihood of having hypertriglyceridemia. This might be explained by a significant higher CQI in healthy population when compared to MetS group. A higher CQI reflects consuming a low glycemic diet rich in dietary fiber from whole grains, fruits, vegetables, and legumes. Satiating effects of dietary fiber results in lower energy intake,body fat accumulation, and

subsequent insulin resistance. Inulin resistance per se is contributed to hyperglycemia through elevated hepatic production of TG (31).

To the best of our knowledge, there is no study investigating the association between MQI and MetS; however there are limited studies in relation to MQI sub-indices and MetS or its components. A previous study revealed disparent results in a correlation between CQI and MetS. For instance, Suara et al. illustrated an inverse association between CQI and WC, systolic and diastolic blood pressures, TG and odds of MetS, as well as, a direct association between HDL-C and CQI in a case-control study among type 2 DM patients (32). One plausible explanation for the contarary findings might be due to the different target population in two studies as DM is along with insulin resistance which leads to glycemic intolerance, obesity and dyslipidemia known as MetS constituents. However, in a crosssectional study among Iranian adults, no significant relationship was seen between CQI and MetS or its components (14).

Whole grains:total grains ratio was not considered in the CQI measurement; while we failed to find correlation between MQI and the risk of MetS. Healthy population had significantly higher MQI and CQI in comparison with MetS group suggesting a possible causative relationshship between MQI or CQI and MetS. The associations between CQI domains inclusing dietary fiber, whole grain, solid carbohydrates, and low GI carbohdrate intake have been widely studied. For instance, meta-analyses strongly support the negative correlation between dietary fiber intake and the odds of MetS (33, 34). Higher fiber intake is assumed to be related to a more efficient glycemic hemoestasis. In most studies assessing the coorelations beteen macronutirnet quality indices and MetS, the risk of MetS has been investigated in relation to dietary fibers, glycemic index, whole grains or refined grains, PUFAs, and SFAs (33, 35-38). Thus, the association between MQI and MetS needs further researches to be elucidated.

These study had some limitations. First, crosssectional studies can not explore the cuasative association between dietary score and the risk of disease development; as well as cohort studies and clinical trials. Second, even an standard validated FFQ has been used in the current study that is memory-dependant, so food frequency questionaire might lead to bias. Third, due to socioeconomic, cultural and dietary patterns and diversity among nations, the result of the current study can not be generalized to the other societies. In contrast, evaluation of MQI and GDQS can help investigate the correlation between diet quality and MetS more accurately. In addition, current researches have proved that healthy dietary patterns instead of singlenutrient recommendations to have more advantages (20). Moreover, correlation analyses have been performed after adjustment for potential covariates.

#### Conclusion

The finding of the current study highlighted the protective effects of MQI and GDQS that represent high-quality dietary patterns against dyslipidemia to be a major component in development of MetS. However, we failed to find significant correlation between MQI or GDQS and the risk of MetS. Prospective cohort studies and clinical trials are suggested to clarify the association between MQI or GDQS and MetS and to investigate the underlying mechanisms, in advance.

# Acknowlegement

We extend our gratitude to all the participants who engaged with patience in this project.

# Funding

The funding awarded through the research grant offered by baqiyatallah university of medical science.

# Authors' Contribution

S.B: Writing – original draft, investigation, Validation. M.T: Formal analysis, Methodology. H.R: Project administration, Supervision, Writing – review and editing.

# **Conflict of Interest**

None declared.

#### References

- Alberti KG, Eckel RH, Grundy SM, et al. Harmonizing the metabolic syndrome: a joint interim statement of the international diabetes federation task force on epidemiology and prevention; national heart, lung, and blood institute; American heart association; world heart federation; international atherosclerosis society; and international association for the study of obesity. *Circulation*. 2009;120:1640-5. DOI: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.109.192644. PMID: 19805654.
- 2 Rostami H, Tavakoli HR, Rahimi MH,et al. Metabolic syndrome prevalence among armed forces personnel (military personnel and police officers): a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Mil Med.* 2019;184:e417-e25. DOI: 10.1093/ milmed/usz144. PMID: 31247092.
- 3 Davoudpour R, Ahmadi A, Homayounfar R,

et al. The Association of Diet Quality Indices with Metabolic Syndrome Components: A PERSIAN Cohort Study in Fasa, Iran. *Int J Nutr Sci.* 2023;8:197-206. DOI: 10.30476/ IJNS.2023.99475.1244.

- 4 Najarian RM, Sullivan LM, Kannel WB, et al. Metabolic syndrome compared with type 2 diabetes mellitus as a risk factor for stroke: the Framingham Offspring Study. *Arch Intern Med.* 2006;166:106-11. DOI: 10.1001/archinte.166.1.106. PMID: 16401818.
- 5 Farmanfarma KK, Kaykhaei MA, Adineh HA, Mohammadi M, Dabiri S, Ansari-Moghaddam AJD, et al. Prevalence of metabolic syndrome in Iran: A meta-analysis of 69 studies. *Diabetes Metab Syndr.* 2019;13:792-9. DOI: 10.1016/j. dsx.2018.11.055. PMID: 30641809.
- A Nouripour F, Hejazi N. Nordic Diet and Cardio-metabolic Diseases: A Review. Int J Nutr Sci. 2019;4:105-108. DOI: 10.30476/ IJNS.2019.82686.1025.
- Mouhib M, Ouazzane R, El Messal M, et al. Effect of Argan Oil on Liver in Patients Suffering from Metabolic Syndrome. *Int J Nutr Sci.* 2020;5:126-133. DOI: 10.30476/ IJNS.2020.87055.1076.
- 8 Nguyen PH, Tran LM, Hoang NT, et al. The Global Diet Quality Score is associated with nutrient adequacy and depression among Vietnamese youths. *Ann N Y Acad Sci.* 2023;1528:48-57. DOI: 10.1111/nyas.15053. PMID: 37566812.
- 9 He Y, Fang Y, Bromage S, et al. Application of the Global Diet Quality Score in Chinese adults to evaluate the double burden of nutrient inadequacy and metabolic syndrome. *J Nutr.* 2021;151:93S-100S. DOI: 10.1093/jn/nxab162. PMID: 34689199.
- 10 Bromage S, Pongcharoen T, Prachansuwan A, et al. Performance of the Global Diet Quality Score (GDQS) App in Predicting Nutrient Adequacy and Metabolic Risk Factors among Thai Adults. *J Nutr.* 2023;153(12):3576-94. DOI: 10.1016/j. tjnut.2023.10.007. PMID: 37844842.
- 11 Damigou E, Kouvari M, Chrysohoou C, et al. Diet Quality and Consumption of Healthy and Unhealthy Foods Measured via the Global Diet Quality Score in Relation to Cardiometabolic Outcomes in Apparently Healthy Adults from the Mediterranean Region: The ATTICA Epidemiological Cohort Study (2002–2022). Nutrients. 2023;15:4428. DOI: 10.3390/ nu15204428. PMID: 37892503.
- 12 Vanegas P, Zazpe I, Santiago S, et al. Macronutrient quality index and cardiovascular disease risk in the Seguimiento Universidad de Navarra (SUN) cohort. *Eur J Nutr.* 2022;61:3517-

30. DOI: 10.1007/s00394-022-02901-3. PMID: 35597843.

- 13 Farhadnejad H, Mokhtari E, Teymoori F, et al. Macronutrients quality indices and risk of metabolic syndrome and its components in Iranian adults. *BMC Cardiovasc Disord*. 2024;24:126. DOI: 10.1186/s12872-024-03779-1. PMID: 38408923.
- 14 Majdi M, Imani H, Bazshahi E, Hosseini F, Djafarian K, Lesani A, et al. Habitual- and Meal-Specific Carbohydrate Quality Index and Their Relation to Metabolic Syndrome in a Sample of Iranian Adults. *Front Nutr.* 2022:9:763345. DOI: 10.3389/fnut.2022.763345. PMID: 35433797.
- 15 Suara SB, Siassi F, Saaka M, Rahimiforoushani A, Sotoudeh GJBPH. Relationship between dietary carbohydrate quality index and metabolic syndrome among type 2 diabetes mellitus subjects: a case-control study from Ghana. *BMC Public Health*. 2021;21:526. DOI: 10.1186/s12889-021-10593-3. PMID: 33731080.
- 16 Santiago-Torres M, Shi Z, Tinker LF, et al. Diet quality indices and risk of metabolic syndrome among postmenopausal women of Mexican ethnic descent in the Women's Health Initiative Observational Study. *Nutr Healthy Aging*. 2020;5:261-72. DOI: 10.3233/NHA-190076. PMID: 33367152.
- 17 Jahanshahi AR, Rashidi H, Ezzatpanah A, et al. The Prevalence of Metabolic Syndrome in Patients with Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease in Ahvaz, Iran. *Int J Nutr Sci.* 2023;8:207-215. DOI: 10.30476/IJNS.2023.99502.1245.
- 18 Konikowska K, Bombała W, Szuba A, et al. Metabolic Syndrome Is Associated with Low Diet Quality Assessed by the Healthy Eating Index-2015 (HEI-2015) and Low Concentrations of High-Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol. *Biomedicines*. 2022;10:2487. DOI: 10.3390/ biomedicines10102487. PMID: 36289749.
- 19 Rabiee MR, Tahmasebi R, Koushkie M, et al. The Effect of Mediterranean Diet and High-Intensity Interval Training on Lipid Profile and HbA1c Level among Overweight and Obese Female Population. *Int J Nutr Sci.* 2024;9:132-138. DOI: 10.30476/IJNS.2024.100044.1263.
- 20 Castro-Barquero S, Ruiz-León AM, Sierra-Pérez M, et al. Dietary Strategies for Metabolic Syndrome: A Comprehensive Review. *Nutrients*. 2020;12:2983. DOI: 10.3390/nu12102983. PMID: 33003472.
- 21 Parastouei K, Rostami H, Chambari M. The association between a priori dietary patterns and psychological disorders in military personnel. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2023;23:203. DOI: 10.1186/

s12888-023-04650-x. PMID: 36978014.

- 22 Craig CL, Marshall AL, Sjöström M, Bauman AE, Booth ML, Ainsworth BE, et al. International physical activity questionnaire: 12-country reliability and validity. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2003;35:1381-95. DOI: 10.1249/01. MSS.0000078924.61453.FB. PMID: 12900694.
- 23 Grundy SM, Brewer Jr HB, Cleeman JI, et al. Definition of metabolic syndrome: Report of the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute/ American Heart Association conference on scientific issues related to definition. *Circulation*. 2004;109(3):433-8. DOI: 10.1161/01. CIR.0000111245.75752.C6. PMID: 14744958.
- 24 Azizi F, Hedayati M, Hosseini Esfahani F, et al. Reliability and relative validity of an FFQ for nutrients in the Tehran Lipid and Glucose Study. *Public Health Nutr.* 2010;13:654-62. DOI: 10.1017/S1368980009991698. PMID: 19807937.
- 25 Santiago S, Zazpe I, Fernandez-Lazaro CI, et al. Macronutrient Quality and All-Cause Mortality in the SUN Cohort. *Nutrients*. 2021;13:972. DOI: 10.3390/nu13030972. PMID: 33802782.
- Bromage S, Batis C, Bhupathiraju SN, et al. Development and Validation of a Novel Food-Based Global Diet Quality Score (GDQS). *J Nutr.* 2021;151:75S-92S. DOI: 10.1093/jn/nxab244. PMID: 34689200.
- Assessment ICfD. The Global Diet Quality Score: data collection options and tabulation guidelines. Intake—Center for Dietary Assessment/FHI Solutions; 2021.
- He Y, Fang Y, Bromage S, et al. Application of the Global Diet Quality Score in Chinese Adults to Evaluate the Double Burden of Nutrient Inadequacy and Metabolic Syndrome. *J Nutr.* 2021;151:93S-100S. DOI: 10.1093/jn/nxab162. PMID: 34689199.
- 29 Bardagjy AS, Steinberg FM. Relationship Between HDL Functional Characteristics and Cardiovascular Health and Potential Impact of Dietary Patterns: A Narrative Review. *Nutrients*. 2019;11:1231. DOI: 10.3390/nu11061231. PMID: 31151202.
- 30 Yanai H, Katsuyama H, Hamasaki H, et al. Effects of Dietary Fat Intake on HDL Metabolism. J Clin Med Res. 2015;7:145-9. DOI: 10.14740/ jocmr2030w. PMID: 25584098.
- 31 Hannon BA, Thompson SV, Edwards CG, et al. Dietary Fiber Is Independently Related to Blood Triglycerides Among Adults with Overweight and Obesity. *Curr Dev Nutr.* 2019;3:nzy094. DOI: 10.1093/cdn/nzy094. PMID: 30820489.
- 32 Suara SB, Siassi F, Saaka M, et al. Relationship between dietary carbohydrate quality index and

metabolic syndrome among type 2 diabetes mellitus subjects: a case-control study from Ghana. *BMC Public Health*. 2021;21:526. DOI: 10.1186/s12889-021-10593-3. PMID: 33731080.

- Wei B, Liu Y, Lin X, et al. Dietary fiber intake and risk of metabolic syndrome: A meta-analysis of observational studies. *Clin Nutr.* 2018;37:1935-42. DOI: 10.1016/j.clnu.2017.10.019. PMID: 29137803.
- 34 Chen JP, Chen GC, Wang XP, et al. Dietary Fiber and Metabolic Syndrome: A Meta-Analysis and Review of Related Mechanisms. *Nutrients*. 2017;10:24. DOI: 10.3390/nu10010024. PMID: 29278406.
- 35 Askari M, Dehghani A, Abshirini M, et al. Glycemic index, but not glycemic load, is associated with an increased risk of metabolic

syndrome: Meta-analysis of observational studies. *Int J Clin Pract.* 2021;75:e14295. DOI: 10.1111/ijcp.14295. PMID: 33928722.

- 36 Guo H, Ding J, Liang J, et al. Associations of Whole Grain and Refined Grain Consumption With Metabolic Syndrome. A Meta-Analysis of Observational Studies. *Front Nutr.* 2021;8:695620. DOI: 10.3389/fnut.2021.695620. PMID: 34277690.
- 37 Jang H, Park K. Omega-3 and omega-6 polyunsaturated fatty acids and metabolic syndrome: A systematic review and metaanalysis. *Clin Nutr.* 2020;39:765-73. DOI: 10.1016/j.clnu.2019.03.032. PMID: 31010701.
- Harrison S, Couture P, Lamarche B. Diet Quality, Saturated Fat and Metabolic Syndrome. *Nutrients*. 2020;12:3232. DOI: 10.3390/nu12113232. PMID: 33105691.